



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/724,597	11/28/2000	Peter L. Rosefield	ATI010002	7653

7590 11/19/2002

J. Gustav Larson
Simon, Fakhoury, Tangelos, Frantz & Galasso, PLC
P.O. Box 26503
Austin, TX 78755-0503

EXAMINER

SOWARD, IDA M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2822

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/724,597

Applicant(s)

ROSEFIELD ET AL.

Examiner

Ida M Soward

Art Unit

2822

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 September 2002.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 14-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 14-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to Applicants' response filed September 5, 2002.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior Art Figures 1-3 in view of Kameda et al. (6,130,484).

Prior Art Figures 1-3 teach an apparatus comprising: semiconductor substrate having an input output (I/O) ring, the I/O ring having a bond pad portion and an active buffer portion; the bond pad portion including: a first bond pad; a second set of bond pads having one or more bond pads; and a third bond pad, wherein the second set of bond pads is immediately adjacent to the first and third bond pads. Prior Art Figures 1-3 further teach the second set of bond pads including one or more bond pads. However, Prior Art Figures 1-3 fail to teach a conductive trace coupling the first bond pad to the third bond pad. Kameda et al. teach a conductive trace **3a** coupling a first bond pad **5** to a third bond pad **11b** (Figures 1-2, col. 3, lines 37-65). Kameda et al. further teaches a package substrate having a power portion; a first bond wire **7** connected to the first

Art Unit: 2822

bond pad **5** and the power portion; a second bond wire **3a** connected to the third bond pad **11b** and the power portion, wherein one of the first bond pad and the third bond pad being connected to the active buffer portion **2** of the IO ring. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the structure of Prior Art Figures 1-3 with the conductive trace of Kameda et al. to improve the reliability of the integrated circuit IC.

Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior Art Figures 1-3 and Kameda et al. (6,130,484) as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of Lebby et al. (5,5543,958) and Ngai et al. (US 2001/0010471 A1). Kameda et al. further teach a first bond pad **5** and a third bond pad **4** being power pads (Figures 1-2, col. 3, lines 37-65). However, Prior Art Figures 1-3 and Kameda et al. fail to teach a power pad coupled to a fixed voltage source, wherein the fixed voltage source is one of Vdd and Vss. Lebby et al. teach a power pad **27** coupled to a fixed voltage source (Figure 1, col. 4, lines 42-46). Ngai et al. teach a fixed voltage source is one of Vdd and Vss (page 5, paragraph [0062]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the structure of Prior Art Figures 1-3 and the conductive trace of Kameda et al. to improve the reliability of the integrated circuit IC with the power pad of Lebby et al. and the fixed voltage source of Ngai et al. to provide high performance interconnects.

Art Unit: 2822

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 5, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Kameda et al. clearly teach in off the corners of Figure 1 a second set of bond pads 1 immediately adjacent to the first (closest to corners) and third bond pads 4 and there is a conductive trace (in stripes) coupling the first bond pad to the third bond pad.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ida M Soward whose telephone number is 703-305-

Art Unit: 2822

3308. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 703-308-4905. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

ims
November 17, 2002



AMIR ZARABIAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800